



Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no person are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

Effective on 12/08/2004.  
Fees pursuant to the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2005 (H.R. 4818).

**FEE TRANSMITTAL**  
**For FY 2008**

☐ Applicant claims small entity status. See 37 CFR 1.27

TOTAL AMOUNT OF PAYMENT (\$)  
810.00

**Complete if Known**

Application Number	09/312,479-Conf. #2665
Filing Date	May 17, 1999
First Named Inventor	Robert J. Hennick
Examiner Name	T. X. Luu
Art Unit	2878
Attorney Docket No.	283_237.10 CPA

**METHOD OF PAYMENT** (check all that apply)

☐ Check ☐ Credit Card ☐ Money Order ☐ None ☐ Other (please identify): \_\_\_\_\_

☒ Deposit Account      Deposit Account Number: 50-0289      Deposit Account Name: Marjama Muldoon Blasiak & Sullivan LLP

For the above-identified deposit account, the Director is hereby authorized to: (check all that apply)

☒ Charge fee(s) indicated below      ☐ Charge fee(s) indicated below, except for the filing fee

☒ Charge any additional fee(s) or underpayments of fee(s) under 37 CFR 1.16 and 1.17      ☒ Credit any overpayments

**FEE CALCULATION**

**1. BASIC FILING, SEARCH, AND EXAMINATION FEES**

Application Type	FILING FEES		SEARCH FEES		EXAMINATION FEES		Fees Paid (\$)
	Fee (\$)	Small Entity Fee (\$)	Fee (\$)	Small Entity Fee (\$)	Fee (\$)	Small Entity Fee (\$)	
Utility	310	155	510	255	210	105	
Design	210	105	100	50	130	65	
Plant	210	105	310	155	160	80	
Reissue	310	155	510	255	620	310	
Provisional	210	105	0	0	0	0	

**2. EXCESS CLAIM FEES**

Fee Description	Fee (\$)	Small Entity Fee (\$)
	Each claim over 20 (including Reissues)	50
Each independent claim over 3 (including Reissues)	210	105
Multiple dependent claims	370	185

Total Claims      Extra Claims      Fee (\$)      Fee Paid (\$)      Multiple Dependent Claims

136      - 156      x      =      Fee (\$)      Fee Paid (\$)

HP = highest number of total claims paid for, if greater than 20.

Indep. Claims      Extra Claims      Fee (\$)      Fee Paid (\$)

4      - 7      =      x      =

HP = highest number of independent claims paid for, if greater than 3.

**3. APPLICATION SIZE FEE**

If the specification and drawings exceed 100 sheets of paper (excluding electronically filed sequence or computer listings under 37 CFR 1.52(e)), the application size fee due is \$260 (\$130 for small entity) for each additional 50 sheets or fraction thereof. See 35 U.S.C. 41(a)(1)(G) and 37 CFR 1.16(s).

Total Sheets      Extra Sheets      Number of each additional 50 or fraction thereof      Fee (\$)      Fee Paid (\$)

- 100 =      /50 =      (round up to a whole number) x      =

**4. OTHER FEE(S)**

Non-English Specification, \$130 fee (no small entity discount)

Other (e.g., late filing surcharge): 1801 Request for continued examination (RCE) (see 37 ...      810.00

**SUBMITTED BY**

Signature		Registration No. (Attorney/Agent)	57,428	Telephone	(315) 425-9000
Name (Print/Type)	Dmitry Andreev	Date	April 14, 2008		

**Fee Transmittal**

I hereby certify that this paper (along with any paper referred to as being attached or enclosed) is being deposited with the U.S. Postal Service as Express Mail, No. EM173018932US, on the date shown below in an envelope addressed to: MS RCE, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Dated: April 14, 2008      Signature: (Susan Pagano)



PTO/SB/21 (01-08)

Approved for use through 03/31/2008. OMB 0651-0031

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

**TRANSMITTAL  
FORM**

(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)

Total Number of Pages in This Submission

21

Application Number

09/312,479-Conf. #2665

Filing Date

May 17, 1999

First Named Inventor

Robert J. Hennick

Art Unit

2878

Examiner Name

T. X. Luu

Attorney Docket Number

283\_237.10 CPA

**ENCLOSURES (Check all that apply)**☒ Fee Transmittal Form☐ Fee Attached☒ Amendment/Reply☐ After Final☐ Affidavits/declaration(s)☐ Extension of Time Request☐ Express Abandonment Request☐ Information Disclosure Statement☐ Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)☐ Reply to Missing Parts/Incomplete Application☐ Reply to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53☐ Drawing(s)☐ Licensing-related Papers☐ Petition☐ Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application☐ Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence Address☐ Terminal Disclaimer☐ Request for Refund☐ CD, Number of CD(s) \_\_\_\_\_☐ Landscape Table on CD☐ After Allowance Communication to TC☐ Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences☐ Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)☐ Proprietary Information☐ Status Letter☒ Other Enclosure(s) (please identify below):

PTO/SB/30 Request for Continued Examination (2 pages), Communication to Record Substance of Interview (3 pages) Certificate of Express Mailing, Return Mailroom Postcard

Remarks

**SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT**

Firm Name

MARJAMA MULDOON BLASIAK &amp; SULLIVAN LLP

Signature

Printed name

Dmitry Andreev

Date

April 14, 2008

Reg. No.

57,428

**Transmittal**

I hereby certify that this paper (along with any paper referred to as being attached or enclosed) is being deposited with the U.S. Postal Service as Express Mail, No. EM173018932US, on the date shown below in an envelope addressed to: MS RCE, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Dated: April 14, 2008

Signature:

(Susan Pagano)



I hereby certify that this paper (along with any paper referred to as being attached or enclosed) is being deposited with the U.S. Postal Service as Express Mail, No. EM173018932US, on the date shown below in an envelope addressed to: MS RCE, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Dated: April 14, 2008

Signature:

Susan Paganon

Docket No.: 283\_237.10CPA  
(PATENT)

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In re Patent Application of:  
Robert J. Hennick et al.

Application No.: 09/312,479

Confirmation No.: 2665

Filed: May 17, 1999

Art Unit: 2878

For: OPTICAL AND IMAGE SENSOR  
SUBASSEMBLY ALIGNMENT AND  
MOUNTING METHOD

Examiner: T. X. Luu

**COMMUNICATION TO RECORD SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW**

MS RCE  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

**INTRODUCTORY COMMENTS**

**Remarks/Arguments** begin on page 2 of this paper.

**COMMUNICATION TO RECORD SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW**

A telephone interview was held on April 7, 2008 between Examiner Thanh X. Luu and applicants' representative Dmitry Andreev.

Applicants' representative began the interview by reading the following statement: "The interview will be focused on a limited number of issues for purposes of reducing the time of the interview, and for purposes of expediting an allowance. The focused nature of the interview will not be taken as an indication that arguments for unpatentability by the Examiner not discussed are conceded to be correct and appropriately made. Applicants expressly reserve the right, later in prosecution of the present application or another application, to challenge the propriety of the outstanding office action on grounds not discussed in the interview."

Applicants' representative requested the Examiner's opinion about the amendment of claim 73 proposed in the Amendment of March 3, 2008 (which has not been entered by the Examiner). The Examiner stated that the proposed Amendment required further consideration and that the claim limitation can be obvious.

Applicants' representative requested the Examiner's opinion about the amendment of claim 111 proposed in the Amendment of March 3, 2008 (which has not been entered by the Examiner). The Examiner stated that the proposed Amendment does not appear to place the claim in a condition for allowance, since in the Examiner's opinion, two surfaces being "fixedly adhered to one another" did not mean that nothing could have been inserted in between the two surfaces, as suggested by applicants' representative.

Applicants' representative requested the Examiner's opinion regarding the arguments traversing the restriction requirement asserted in the Amendment of March 3, 2008 (which has not been entered by the Examiner). The Examiner stated that the limitation of alignment indicated by an electrical signal was in the original claim set, but

Application No. 09/312,479  
Communication dated April 14, 2008  
Communication to Record Substance of Interview

Docket No.: 283\_237.10CPA

was not in the claim set which was current at the time of making the restriction requirement.

If the Examiner believes that contact with applicants' representative would be advantageous toward the disposition of this case, the Examiner is herein requested to call applicant's representative at the phone number listed below.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees associated with this communication or credit any overpayment to deposit Account No. 50-0289

Dated: April 14, 2008

Respectfully submitted,

By

  
Dmitry Andreev

Registration No.: 57,428

**MARJAMA MULDOON BLASIAK & SULLIVAN LLP**

250 South Clinton Street

Suite 300

Syracuse, New York 13202

(315) 425-9000

Customer No.: 20874

DA/slp